

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 WAYMO LLC,

No. C 17-00939 WHA

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
13 OTTOMOTTO LLC; and OTTO
14 TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.
15 _____/

**ORDER CLARIFYING JUDGE
CORLEY'S AUTHORITY TO
SET DEPOSITIONS**

16 In response to counsel's failure to agree upon dates for all remaining depositions needed
17 in this case, a prior order dated June 23 set a schedule for twenty depositions requested by both
18 sides to be completed by August 10 (Dkt. No. 725). To be clear, while the parties may not
19 schedule depositions after the discovery cut-off, the June 23 order in no way restricts either
20 Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Corley or the district court's ability to make discovery rulings in
21 this case, including to order additional depositions after the discovery cut-off.
22

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
24

25 Dated: August 15, 2017.

26 
27 WILLIAM ALSUP
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE